UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,018	03/31/2004	Jason Urbanski	8627-373 (PA-5270-CIP2)	5526
48003 7590 11/14/2008 BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE/CHICAGO/COOK PO BOX 10395			EXAMINER	
			PHILOGENE, PEDRO	
CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/814,018	URBANSKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Pedro Philogene	3733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 15 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on t application, applicant must timely file one of the following re application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which place with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Requ	s the
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Ad no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). 	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth er than SIX MONTHS from the mailin). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of externing the period of the short in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amount ortened statutory period for reply original	of the fee. The appropriate extension nally set in the final Office action; or	n fee (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complication of the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. S	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	sideration and/or search (see NO /); er form for appeal by materially re	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying the issues fo	or
 (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.127. 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed. 	See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324)	
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an explanation	of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is necessary	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide	e a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (F 		n condition for allowance because	e :
13. Other:	10/30/00) Fapel No(5).		
	/Pedro Philogene/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 3733	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's argument is that the reference to Bai is non-analogous art. however, under the correct analysis, any ned or problem known in the field and addresses by the patent can provide a reason for combining the elements in the manner claimed,. Second applicant erred in assuming that a person of ordinary skill in the art attempting to solve a problem will be led only to those prior art elements designed to solve the same problem. Applicant wrongly concluded that because Boyle's primary purpose was manipulating a grasper, an inventor considering how to put an alternating soft plastic and hard plastic on a catheter would have no reason to consider the catheter of of Boyle. It is common sense that familiar items may have obvious uses beyond their primary purpose, and a person of ordinary skill often will be able to fit the teachings of multiple patents together like pieces of a puzzle. Furthermore, a aptent claim can be proved obvious merely by showing that the combination of elements was obvious to try, when there is a design need or market pressure to solve a problem and there are a finite number of identified, predictable solutions, a person of ordinary skill in the arthas good reason to pursue the known options within his or her technical grasp. So, apploicant's argument was not found to be persuasive.